

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 IAEA V 09379 061053Z

43

ACTION OES-04

INFO OCT-01 EA-06 IO-04 ISO-00 ACDA-05 CIAE-00 INR-05 L-01

NSAE-00 NSC-05 RSC-01 FEAE-00 AF-04 ARA-06 EUR-08

NEA-06 /056 W

----- 002582

R 060845Z NOV 74

FM USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5232

INFO AEC GERMANTOWN

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

C O N F I D E N T I A L IAEA VIENNA 9379

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: TECH, PARM, IAEA, JA

SUBJ: SAFEGUARDS TECHNICAL COMMITTEE AND JAPANESE
NEGOTIATION OF NPT SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT

REF: (A) IAEA VIENNA 8734 (B) TOKYO 13518

1. SUBSEQUENT REPORT REFTEL (A), TAKAOKA AND US MISSION
HAVE EACH SEPARATELY DISCUSSED QUESTION OF TECHNICAL
SAFEGUARDS COMMITTEE WITH INSPECTOR GENERAL ROMETSCH.
THESE TALKS AND OUR SUBSEQUENT DISCUSSIONS WITH DIRECTOR
GENERAL EKLUND REVEAL SUBSTANTIAL PROSPECTS FOR CREATION
OF SAFEGUARDS TECHNICAL COMMITTEE WHICH COULD SATISFY
JAPANESE DEMANDS.

2. EKLUND HAS DECIDED (BUT HAS NOT AS YET INFORMED
JAPANESE) THAT HE WILL APPOINT SUCH COMMITTEE COMPOSED
OF PERHPAS 10 TO 12 EXPERTS FROM SUCH COUNTRIES AS US,
UK, CANADA, FRG, JAPAN, ITALY, SWEDEN, MEXICO,
CZECHOSLOVAKIA, USSR, AS STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTING
TO DIRECTOR GENERAL. HE INTENDS TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS
ON HIS OWN INITIATIVE AND TO INFORM THE BOARD OF
GOVERNORS OF HIS ACTION, WITHOUT REQUESTING BOARD
APPROVAL. THIS WILL MAKE THE COMMITTEE DIFFERENT, IN
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 IAEA V 09379 061053Z

THIS RESPECT, FROM THE SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE WHICH

IS ALSO PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE TO THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, BUT WHOSE MEMBERS ARE APPOINTED BY THE BOARD, UPON NOMINATION BY DIRECTOR GENERAL. THE DG WILL WORK OUT ARRANGEMENTS TO BRING TO THE ATTENTION OF THE BOARD ON A PERIODIC BASIS THE RESULTS OF THE WORK OF THE COMMITTEE JUST AS HE DOES WITH THE SAC. EVEN THOUGH THE BOARD WILL NOT HAVE A FORMAL RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COMMITTEE, ANY BOARD MEMBER, OF COURSE (UNDER STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES OF THE AGENCY) CAN RAISE QUESTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE COMMITTEE'S WORK OR SUGGEST PROBLEMS WHICH THE DG SHOULD ASK THE COMMITTEE TO ADDRESS. THE DG HAS NOT YET WORKED OUT TERMS OF REFERENCE AND MISSION WILL REPORT AGAIN WHEN THIS HAS BEEN DONE. HE DID NOT FEEL THAT TERMS WOULD CAUSE SERIOUS DIFFICULTIES, HOWEVER, SINCE THE COMMITTEE WOULD OBVIOUSLY BE SEIZED WITH TECHNICAL QUESTIONS SIMILAR TO THOSE WHICH THE JAPANESE HAD RAISED.

3. I INFORMED DG THAT US THINKING WAS ALONG THE SAME LINES AS OUTLINED ABOVE, AND I ASSURED HIM WE WOULD SUPPORT HIS GENERAL APPROACH. WOULD APPRECIATE ANY COMMENT WHICH WASHINGTON MIGHT HAVE. IN THE MEANTIME, WE DO NOT PLAN DISCUSS SUBJECT WITH JAPANESE UNTIL AFTER SECRETARIAT HAS FLESHED OUT DETAILS AND WE HAVE HAD OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS SUCH DETAILS WITH SECRETARIAT.

4. WE NOTE THAT SOME INSIGHT INTO CURRENT JAPANESE VIEWS ON COMMITTEE MAY BE GAINED FROM ARTICLE BY PRINCIPAL ADVOCATE, R. IMAI, WHICH APPEARS IN RECENT SIPRI PUBLICATION "NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION PROBLEMS," SEE ESPECIALLY PAGES 252 THROUGH 254. AFTER LISTING SEVEN GENERAL PROBLEMS CREATED, ACCORDING TO IMAI, BY HEAVY RELIANCE IN INFCIRC/153 ON NATIONAL SYSTEMS, HE CONCLUDES THAT EFFORTS DURING NEGOTIATION RESPECTIVE SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENTS WITH EURATOM AND US (SIC) TO FIND TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS TO SUCH POLITICAL PROBLEMS WERE UNSUCCESSFUL. ON OTHER HAND, OFFERING OF POLITICAL SOLUTIONS TO TECHNICAL PROBLEMS WOULD ONLY CREATE BIASED AND UNFAIR PRACTICE IN ACTUAL APPLICATION OF SAFEGUARDS. AS WAY OF RESOLVING DILEMMA, "COMMITTEE OF TECHNICAL EXPERTS TO SERVE AS ADVISER TO THE BOARD" HAS BEEN PROPOSED BY

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 IAEA V 09379 061053Z

JAPAN. COMMITTEE "SHALL BE ENTRUSTED WITH THE JOB OF GIVING TECHNICAL INTERPRETATIONS TO TECHNICAL PROVISIONS OF THE SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT, AND TO OFFER TECHNICAL ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION REGARDING ACTUAL METHODS OF SAFEGUARDS APPLICATION WHENEVER IT IS CALLED FOR." HE OBSERVES THAT MOST OF ENUMERATED PROBLEMS HAVE NO REASONABLE SHORT-TERM SOLUTION, BUT MUST BE ACCEPTED AS INTRINSIC LIMITATIONS. HE CONCLUDES THAT

".....SAFEGUARDS TECHNOLOGY AND THE IAEA SYSTEM HAVE
BEEN IMPROVED TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY NO LONGER REMAIN
A MAJOR NPT ISSUE ALTHOUGH THEY CONTINUE TO BE AN
IMPORTANT IAEA PROBLEM."LABOWITZ

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NPT, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 06 NOV 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974IAEAV09379
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740318-1069
From: IAEA VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741167/aaaacewi.tel
Line Count: 119
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION OES
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: (A) IAEA VIENNA 8734 (B) TOKYO 13518
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 26 MAR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <26 MAR 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <23 MAY 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: SAFEGUARDS TECHNICAL COMMITTEE AND JAPANESE NEGOTIATION OF NPT SAFEGUARDS AGREEMENT
TAGS: TECH, PARM, JA, IAEA
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005